

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,828	12/12/2003	Roland Deckwer	09879-00039-US BCS 02-100	5323
23416 CONNOLLY	7590 06/14/2007 BOVE LODGE & HUT		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2207			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
WILMINGTO	ART I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/734,828	DECKWER ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Alton N. Pryor	1616		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address		
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMINIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ja	nuary 2007.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 _. O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or e				
Applicati	ion Papers	·			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment		,, C			
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite		

This application has been transferred to Art Unit 1616 to a different examiner for further prosecution. The office action mailed 7/5/06 is vacated in light of the restriction requirement set-forth below.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6,15,16, drawn to an oil suspension concentrate, classified in class 504, subclass 333.
- Claim 7, drawn to a method of preparing an oil suspension concentrate,
 classified in class 504, subclass 333.
- III. Claims 8-14, drawn to a method of controlling harmful plants using an oil suspension concentrate, classified in class 504, subclass 333.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process claimed can be used to make oil suspension concentrate comprising herbicides other than sulfonamides.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the

Application/Control Number: 10/734,828

Art Unit: 1616

sulfonamide concentrate can be used with herbicides other than sulfonamide herbicides.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

It is also requested that the Applicants elect a single specifically defined composition for the elected invention which includes specifically naming or completely defining sulfonamide compound(s), specifically naming or completely defining safener(s), specifically naming or completely defining organic solvent(s), and specifically naming or completely defining sulfosuccinate compound(s). In addition, if applicant desires additional ingredients (usually listed in "further comprising" claims), it is being requested that applicants specifically name or completely define all desired additional ingredients. Claims listing additional ingredients which are not specifically named or completely defined will be considered non-elected.

A telephone call was made to Attorney Hohenschutz on 1/8/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,828

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616